SGR A* EMISSION PARAMETRIZATIONS FROM GRMHD SIMULATIONS

Richard Anantua,

Collaborators: Sean Ressler and Eliot Quataert (UC Berkeley)

Presentation at San Francisco City College

2-21-2018

GALACTIC CENTER: HOME SWEET HOME

• The Milky Way hosts a low luminosity active galactic nucleus (AGN) at its center



 The Galactic Center radio source Sgr A at d=7.86kpc (Boehle et al., 2016) has a relatively bright, non-thermal region, Sgr A*, surrounding a supermassive black hole



- Central black hole mass: $4 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ (Boehle et al. 2016)
- Sgr A* luminosity: $L_{SgrA*} = 10^{-9}L_{Edd} 10^{-10}L_{Edd}$ (Sabha et al., 2018); $L_{SgrA*} \preceq 10^{37}$ erg/s = 2600 L_{\odot} (Narayan et al. 1998)

BLACK HOLES IN ASTRONOMY

- Remnants of stars >4 M_{\odot} produce black holes when the star runs out of nuclear fuel or degeneracy pressure counteracting gravitational collapse
- If a black hole is formed from a star that was in a binary system, it accretes the companion star, producing x-ray radiation
- Supermassive (10⁶-10¹⁰ M_{\odot}) black holes form via mergers and accretion
- Relativistic jets of radiating cosmic rays can be ejected from the poles of black holes in:
 - AGN
 - BH/X-Ray binaries
 - Gamma ray bursts



BLACK HOLES - OBSERVATIONS

• The Event Horizon Telescope is a collection of radio antennae forming a network of intercontinental baselines to form mm-images



Confer: <u>http://news.nationalgeographic.com/2017/04/black-hole-event-horizon-telescope-pictures-genius-science/</u>

• Baselines of radio telescopes are very long in view of the angular resolution limit:

$$\Delta \theta_{\rm min} = 1.22 \frac{\lambda}{D_{\rm aperture}}$$

SGR A* OBSERVATIONS: MASS ACCRETION RATE

- $\dot{M} \lesssim 2 \times 10^{-7} M_{\odot} / \text{yr}$ (Marrone et al. 2007)
- Stars in the inner parsec of the Galactic Center Sgr A* (Courtesy of UCLA Galactic Center Group): http://www.galacticcenter.astro.ucla.edu/animations.html



WR 124 in Sagittarius



Wolf-Rayet stars, which are hot w./ strong winds, accrete the most onto Sgr A*

SGR A* IMAGE SIZE CONSTRAINTS

Doeleman et al., 2008

- EHT size constraints
 - Intrinsic size: $37^{+16}_{-10}\mu$ as
 - Scattering size: $43^{+14}_{-8}\mu$ as

Photon ring?





SGR A* OBSERVATIONS: SPECTRAL FLUX DENSITY

- Sgr A* spectrum from 10^{10} Hz microwaves to 10^{20} Hz X-rays
- Sub-mm ($\gtrsim 3x10^{11}$ Hz) bump in IR



- Spectral flux density observations
 - 1.3 Jy at 32 GHz in ApJ (Bower et al. 2015)
 - 2.4 Jy at 230 GHz by EHT in Nature (Doeleman et al. 2008)

SGR A* OBSERVATIONS

- Low luminosity (L $\leq 10^{37}$ erg/s = 2600 L_{\odot} (Narayan et al. 1998))
- Low mass accretion rate $\dot{M} \preceq 2 \times 10^{-7} M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$ (Marrone et al. 2007)
- EHT size constraints
 - Scattering size: $43^{+14}_{-8}\mu$ as (Doeleman et al. 2008)
- Spectral flux density observations
 - 1.3 Jy at 32 GH in ApJ (Bower et al. 2015)
 - 2.4 Jy at 230 GHz by EHT in Nature (Doeleman et al. 2008)

QUESTIONS

• Can models of intuitive phenomenological emission mechanisms in general relativistic magnetohydrdynamic simulations reproduce Sgr A* observations?

 Can a single parametric model describe Sgr A* emission over regions including disk, disk wind and outflow?

QUESTIONS

• Can models of intuitive phenomenological emission mechanisms in general relativistic magnetohydrdynamic simulations reproduce Sgr A* observations?

Some models reproduce some observational signatures better than others

 Can a single parametric model describe Sgr A* emission over regions including disk, disk wind and outflow?

> Probably– but not the obvious disk or jet emission models, nor simple combinations thereof

SIMULATION ASSUMPTIONS

- Scales
 - Black hole mass $M = 4 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ (Boehle et al. 2016)
 - Mass accretion rate $-\dot{M}$ determines normalization of spectral flux summed over intensity maps in various models at a chosen frequency
- Dynamical time for accretion shorter than timescale of Coulomb collisions between leptonic (e+e-) and hadronic plasma (p,ions) => Two-temperature plasma
- Electrons radiate heat more efficiently than protons: $T_e \ll T_p$

SIMULATION FLUID EQUATIONS

Mass conservation

$$\partial_\mu(
ho u^\mu) = 0$$

• Energy-momentum equation

$$\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = \nabla_{\mu}\left(T_{g}^{\mu\nu} + T_{EM}^{\mu\nu}\right) = -\tau^{\mu\nu}$$

• Stress-energy-momentum tensor with electron heating terms

$$T_{e}^{\mu\nu} = (\rho_{e} + u_{e} + P_{e})u_{e}^{\mu}u_{e}^{\nu} + P_{e}g^{\mu\nu} + \tau_{e}^{\mu\nu} + q_{e}^{\mu}u_{e}^{\nu} + q_{e}^{\nu}u_{e}^{\mu}$$
$$T_{p}^{\mu\nu} = (\rho_{p} + u_{p} + P_{p})u_{p}^{\mu}u_{p}^{\nu} + P_{p}g^{\mu\nu} + \tau_{p}^{\mu\nu}$$

ENTROPY AND TEMPERATURE

• Entropy per particle

$$s = \frac{\ln P \rho^{-\gamma}}{\gamma - 1}$$

• Vlasov equation and 1st moment

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \vec{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{\mathbf{x}}} f + \frac{q}{m} \left(\vec{E} + \frac{1}{c} \vec{\mathbf{v}} \times \vec{B} \right) \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{\mathbf{v}}} f = 0$$

$$\partial_{\mu}T_{e}^{\mu\nu} = -enu_{e}^{\mu}F_{\mu}^{\nu}, \qquad \partial_{\mu}T_{p}^{\mu\nu} = enu_{p}^{\mu}F_{\mu}^{\nu}$$

• Entropy equation

$$\rho T_e u^\mu \partial_\mu s_e = Q_e - \partial_\mu q_e^\mu - a_\mu q_e^\mu$$

KEY PARAMETERS

• Plasma beta

$$\beta = \frac{P_g}{P_b} = \frac{(\gamma - 1)u_g}{b^2/2}$$

• Bias, or magnetic dominance, N=2n

 $P_g \sim b^{2n}$

- Electron heating fraction ${\rm f}_{\rm e}$

$$Q_e = f_e Q$$

EMISSION MODELS

Equipartition for

Pg~Pb

- Electron temperature model
 - 1) Constant Te/Tp at beta<<1
 - 2) Te suppressed at beta>>1

$$\frac{T_e}{T_{\rm sim}} = f_e \, \exp[-\beta/\beta_c]$$

• Constant β model

$$\beta = \frac{P_g}{P_b} = \frac{(\gamma - 1)u_g}{b^2/2} \Longrightarrow u_g = \frac{1}{(\gamma - 1)}\beta \frac{b^2}{2}$$

• Bias model

$$P_e = K_N \left(\frac{b}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^N$$
, $K_N = K_2 \frac{\langle \frac{b^2}{2} \rangle}{\langle \left(\frac{b}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^N \rangle}$, $K_2 = \beta$

POSTPROCESSING: RADIATIVE TRANSFER

• IBOTHROS (Noble et al. 2007) solves general relativistic radiative transfer equations for photon geodesics $x^{\mu}(s)$ between source and "camera"

$$\frac{dN^{\mu}}{ds} = -\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}N^{\alpha}N^{\beta}, \qquad N^{\mu} = \frac{dx^{\mu}}{ds}$$

• Syncrotron emission and absorption are included in IBOTHROS ray tracing

$$\frac{d\mathcal{I}}{ds} = \mathcal{J} - \mathcal{A}\mathcal{I}, \qquad \mathcal{J} = \frac{j_{\nu}}{\nu^2}, \mathcal{A} = \nu \alpha_{\nu}$$

POSTPROCESSING: SPECTRA

- GRMONTY (Dolence et al. 2009) computes spectra of hot accretion flows in the Kerr metric
- Synchrotron emission and absorption and Compton scattering included
- The code solves the trajectories of N Monte Carlo sample photons and weighs them by frequency to compute spectra
- GRMONTY converges with rate $N^{-1/2}$

SIMULATION ELECTRON TEMP PROFILES: ELECTRON TEMPERATURE MODEL

• Boundary layer on disk-jet corona have highest T_e in electron temp models.











 $(f, \beta_c) = (0.5, 1)$

Log[T_e]

Log[T_e]

[M]

SIMULATION ELECTRON TEMP PROFILES: BETA AND BIAS MODELS

Beta and bias model have highest electron temperature in the outflow interior



SYNTHETIC IMAGES

• Electron evolution model image (Log[I_{ν}]) plot for -100M<x,y<100M



ELECTRON TEMPERATURE MODEL IMAGES

• Constant intensity throughout projected region enclosed by disk-jet corona







BETA MODEL IMAGES

- Beta models light up the extended outflow at low β and small radii (photon ring) at high β

• $\beta = 0.01$,



 $\beta = 0.1$



EQUIPARTITION MODEL IMAGE

• The equipartition model ($\beta = 1$) lights up a spherical region tens of r_{q} from the hole



BIAS MODEL IMAGES

- Bias models light up near the horizon where photon trajectories form rings
 - N=0,







IMAGES SUMMARY

- Electron evolution model emission is seen throughout the outflow, especially near the photon ring
- Electron temperature model emission is uniform throughout the projected coronal region
- Beta model images incorporate outflow and photon ring emission at low beta (high magnetic pressure), but mostly emission near the photon ring at higher beta
- Bias models images incorporate outflow and photon ring at low N (constant electron gas pressure), and favor photon ring emission from small radii at high N

COMPARING IMAGES WITH EHT SIZE CONSTRAINT

- Observational EHT size constraints for circular Gaussian emitting region FWHM
 - 5.4M<D<10.6M intrinsic size
 - 7M<D<11.4M scattering size
- Define "emitting region" to be portions of an image plane with intensity at least $I_{Floor} = f_{Floor} \times I_{max}$
- Take as characteristic length D of the emitting region the diameter of a circular region with area $A_{\rm Emitting}$
- Take f_{Floor} = 0.2, as this was the value required to get emitting regions compact enough to satisfy EHT size constraint in some models

EMITTING REGION IN ELECTRON EVOLUTION MODEL





EMITTING REGIONS IN ELECTRON TEMPERATURE MODELS







EMITTING REGIONS IN BETA AND BIAS MODELS



EHT SIZE CONSTRAINTS

• The model with the most compact emitting region is



RESULTS: SYNTHETIC SPECTRA

• Electron evolution model is a detailed calculation fitting most of data



RESULTS: SYNTHETIC SPECTRA

- Electron temperature (f_e , β_c) models have steeper-than-observed spectrum likely corona-dominated
 - $(f_e, \beta_c) = (0.1, 1)$



$$(f_e, \beta_c) = (0.5, 1)$$



BETA MODEL SPECTRA

- Beta models have flatter-than-observed spectra near mm-bump, but similar slopes
 to observed spectrum after
 - $\beta = 0.01$,



 $\beta = 0.1$



EQUIPARTITION MODEL SPECTRUM

• Equipartition model



BIAS MODEL SPECTRA

• Bias model

• N=0,



N=4



FLATTER SPECTRUM FOR LOWER BETA IN BETA MODELS

• Low β (magnetic pressure dominance) may lead to near-horizon outflow regions dominating emission



FLATTER SPECTRUM FOR LOWER N BIAS MODELS

N = 0



N = 2



N = 4



FLATTER SPECTRUM FOR BETA AND BIAS VS (f_e , β_c) MODELS

- Spectra in models inspired by equipartition (beta and bias) may be dominated by near-horizon outflow emission
- Spectra in electron temperature models may be dominated by disk/corona emission

• (Blandford and Konigl, 1979)



• (Blandford and Konigl, 1979)



Synchrotron radiation:

$$N(\gamma) = K\gamma^2$$
, $\gamma_{min} < \gamma < \gamma_{max}$
 $\rightarrow \alpha = 1/2$

$$u_e = Kmec^2 \ln \frac{\gamma_{\max}}{\gamma_{\min}}$$

- (Blandford and Konigl, 1979)
 - Isothermal jet



Synchrotron radiation:

$$N(\gamma) = K\gamma^2$$
, $\gamma_{min} < \gamma < \gamma_{max}$
 $\rightarrow \alpha = 1/2$

$$u_e = Kmec^2 \ln \frac{\gamma_{\max}}{\gamma_{\min}}$$

Assuming equipartition:

$$u_e = k_e \frac{B^2}{8\pi} \ln \frac{\gamma_{\text{max}}}{\gamma_{\text{min}}} \sim \frac{1}{r^2} \rightarrow \text{Isothermal Jet}$$

- (Blandford and Konigl, 1979)
 - Isothermal jet
 - Flat spectrum



GRMHD simulations (Moscibrodska and Falcke, 2013) confirm flat spectrum due to optically thick jet regions

- (Blandford and Konigl, 1979)
 - Isothermal jet
 - Flat spectrum



Higher frequency variability

BIAS MODELS WITH BLANDFORD-KONIGL JET

• The N = 0 bias model has constant pressure along the jet,

 $P_e = K_N$

which may lead to jet regions dominating emission

• $P_e \sim b^N$, N > 0 has electron gas pressure fall off with radius

$$P_e = K_N \left(\frac{b}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^N, B \sim 1/r$$

ISSUE: LOW FREQUENCY SPECTRUM OVERPRODUCED

- Emission-weighted avg. polar angle (green) from jet axis ranges from
 - ~ 0.4 rad to ~ 0.4 rad for $10^{9} < \nu /Hz < 10^{11}$
 - ~ 0.4 rad to ~0.6 rad to 0.3 rad for $10^{11} < \nu /Hz < 10^{16}$
 - ~ 0.3 rad to ~0.5 rad $10^{16} < v /Hz < 10^{18}$
 - ~ 0.3 rad to ~0.6 rad $10^{18} < \nu /Hz < 10^{23}$
- Emission-weighted avg. radius (red) from:
 - 200M to 75M for $10^9 < \nu /Hz < 10^{11}$
 - 75M to 5M for $10^{11} < v /Hz < 10^{16}$
 - 5M to 100M 10¹⁶ $< \nu$ /Hz<10¹⁸
 - 100M to 80M 1018< ν /Hz<1023



 $0.5 \, rad = 29^{\circ}$

POSSIBLE REMEDIES

• Excise region beyond r=50M





CONCLUSIONS

- Our parameterized models reproduce some aspects of Sgr A* observed morphology (e.g., asymmetry, photon ring) and spectrum (e.g., slope and/or amplitude at lower or higher frequencies than $v \sim 10^{12}$ Hz bump)
- 230 GHz intensity maps on the scale of tens of gravitational radii appear:
 - Mostly uniform for electron temperature models
 - Mixed outflow/near horizon for equipartition-inspired (beta and bias) models
 - More concentrated around horizon photon ring for increasing β and increasing N
- Synthetic spectra are:
 - Flatter spectra in beta and bias models than electron temperature models
 - More peaked spectra for increasing β and increasing N
- Most compact emitting region for β =0.01, closest to satisfying EHT size constraint

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

- Sgr A* variability
- Other EHT sources: M87, 3C 279, Cen A, NGC 1052, OJ 287



EXTRA SLIDES

SGR A* OBSERVATIONS: LUMINOSITY

• Sgr A* is a low luminosity active galactic nucleus (AGN)

POSTPROCESSING: RADIATIVE TRANSFER

• IBOTHROS (Noble et al. 2007) solves general relativistic radiative transfer equations for photon geodesics between source and "camera"

$$\frac{d^2 x^{\mu}}{ds^2} = -\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} \frac{dx^{\alpha}}{ds} \frac{dx^{\beta}}{ds}$$

$$\frac{dN^{\mu}}{ds} = -\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}N^{\alpha}N^{\beta}, \qquad N^{\mu} = \frac{dx^{\mu}}{ds}$$

SIMULATION ELECTRON **TEMPERATURE PROFILES** $(f, \beta_c) = (0.1, 0.1)$

$(f, \beta_c) = (0.1, 1)$



Log[T_e]



Log[T_e]

$(f, \beta_c) = (0.5, 1)$



x [M]

$(f, \beta_c) = (0.1, 0.01)$



$(f, \beta_c) = (0.1, 0.01)$

x [M]







1.618

1.216

0.010

-0.392

-0 794

-1.196

-1.598

2.000



X [M]

RESULTS: SYNTHETIC IMAGES

BETA MODEL IMAGES

- Beta models light up the extended outflow at low β and small radii (photon ring) at high β
 - $\beta = 0.01$,



 $\beta = 0.1$



RESULTS: SYNTHETIC SPECTRA

• Electron evolution model (detailed calculation)









OTHER OBSERVATIONS

• The EHT may show for the BL-Lac M87

- 1) Collimation for inner ~10M
- 2) Strong, ordered B-field

Consistent with: 1.) jet held together by thick disk 2.) powered by BH spin and B-flux threading horizon (Blandford and Znajek, 1977)

QUESTIONS

 Can a single parametric model describe Sgr A* emission over regions including disk, disk wind and outflow?

> Probably– but not the obvious disk or jet emission models, nor simple combinations thereof

BLACK HOLES - OBSERVATIONS

The Event Horizon Telescope is a collection of radio antennae forming a network of intercontinental baselines to form mm-images



- Read: <u>http://news.nationalgeographic.com/2017/04/black-hole-event-horizon-telescope-pictures-genius-science/</u>
- Exercise: Can you explain why baselines of radio telescopes are so long in view of the angular resolution limit below?

$$\Delta \theta_{\rm min} = 1.22 \frac{\lambda}{D_{\rm aperture}}$$

BLACK HOLES - OBSERVATIONS

The Event Horizon Telescope is a collection of radio antennae forming a network of intercontinental baselines to form mm-images





- Read: <u>http://news.nationalgeographic.com/2017/04/black-hole-event-horizon-telescope-pictures-genius-science/</u>
- Exercise: Can you explain why baselines of radio telescopes are so long in view of the angular resolution limit below?
 - The ability to distinguish diameter

$$\Delta \theta_{\rm min} = 1.22 \frac{\lambda}{D_{\rm aperture}}$$

aration increases with aperture

